

February 6, 2026
(29) ARDR

WPA 9683 of 2025

Sangbaran Ghosh
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Milan Chandra Bhattacharjee,
Adv. Sulagna Bhattacharjee,
... for the petitioner.
Adv. Amajit De,
...for the CBI.
Adv. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay,
Adv. Debopriyo Karan,
...for the State.

On prayer of the petitioner, liberty is granted to implead the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Cyber Crime as respondent no.11 in the writ petition.

The cause title of the writ petition be amended accordingly.

Report submitted by the State is taken on record.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the mode and manner of investigation.

It appears from the reports submitted by the State that though the investigation is in progress, only one of the alleged miscreants has been arrested till date despite the petitioner providing the contact numbers of the alleged miscreants, amount of money siphoned and the names of persons in whose accounts the money has been credited. No fruitful step appears to have been taken to trace the money trail or freeze the relevant accounts. Several lacunae in the investigation are noticed. The allegations are extremely serious in nature.

In view of the above, let the investigation be monitored by the 11th respondent who shall ensure a fair and unbiased investigation and take the same to its logical conclusion, in accordance with law.

Since no fruitful purpose shall be served in keeping the writ petition pending, the same is accordingly disposed of.

There shall however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)