

13.05.2026
Court No.28
Item No.46
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1361 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Jagatballavpur** P.S. Case No.**261 of 2025** dated **31.07.2025** under Sections **309(4)** of the BNS, 2023 and Section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: Suleman Mallick @ Soleman Mallik @ Bhoder
....Petitioner.

Mr. Deb Kumar Sharma
....for the petitioner

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya
....for the State

Leave is granted to correct the cause title.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The FIR was lodged after about 25 days from the date of the incident. Out of the sum of Rs. 25 lakhs that was allegedly looted away, a sum of Rs. 21.5 lakhs has already been recovered from the arrested accused. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned Amicus assisting the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner was named in the FIR as one of the miscreants. He has thereafter been named by the de facto complainant in further statements as also by another witness, as would be evident from page 10 of the case diary.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)