

April 27, 2026
Sl. No.34
Court No.1
s.biswas

WPA(P) 194 of 2026

Sk Juel

vs.

The State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Soujanya Das

Mr. Kazi Najesh Haque

... for the petitioner

Mr. Swapan Kumar Dutta, GP

Mr. Nilotpal Chatterjee

Ms. Tapati Samanta

... for the State

Dictated by Partha Sarathi Sen, J.

1. The affidavit of service as filed today on behalf of the writ petitioner is taken on record.
2. The writ petitioner, the respondents State and its instrumentalities are represented by their respective learned counsel. None appears on behalf of the private respondent nos.9 and 9, despite service.
3. By filing the instant writ petition, the writ petitioner has prayed for issuance of appropriate writ/writs against the respondent authorities, more specifically against the respondent no.6 authority commanding him to take appropriate steps

for closure of the alleged non-functional vocational unit at Oari High School.

4. At the time of hearing, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner submits before this court that it is the specific case of the petitioner that in the said school vocational unit comes to a halt in absence of any students since 2015 and despite giving such intimation to the appropriate authorities, no steps have been taken by the respondent authorities.
5. It is thus submitted that appropriate relief/reliefs may be granted to the petitioner in terms of prayer of the instant writ petition.
6. Mr. Chatterjee, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent State, in his usual fairness, submits that the respondent no.6 authority may be directed to consider the representation dated 25.08.2025 as submitted by the writ petitioner, in accordance with law.
7. On careful perusal of the entire materials as placed before this court and after

hearing the learned counsel for the contending parties, this court while disposing of the instant writ petition, permits the writ petitioner to submit a fresh representation with the respondent no.6 authority along with server copy of this order.

8. The respondent no.6, on receipt of such representation and server copy of this order, shall give a fair chance of hearing to the writ petitioner as well as to the private respondents and/or any other stake holders and shall pass a reasoned order and shall forthwith communicate the same to the writ petitioner, private respondents and other stake holders, if therebe any.
9. The entire exercise, as indicated in the foregoing paragraphs, is to be completed by the respondent no.6 authority within 60 working days from the date of receiving the copy of this order together with a fresh representation.
10. The time limit as filed by this court is peremptory and mandatory.

11.It is further ordered that in the event while passing a reasoned order, the respondent no.6 finds sufficient merit in the representation of petitioner, the respondent no.6 authority is directed to take consequential steps forthwith.

12.With the aforementioned observation, WPA(P) 194 of 2026 is disposed of.

13.Before parting with, it is however made clear that while disposing of the instant writ petition, we have not gone into the merits of the case. All points are kept open before the respondent no.6 authority.

14.Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Sujoy Paul, C.J.)

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)