

09.06.2026
Serial no. 32
[G.S.D]

CRM (NDPS) 807 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with N Case No. 106 of 2024 arising out of Amdanga Police Station Case No. 725 of 2024 dated 20.10.2024 u/s 20(b)(ii)(c)/25/29 of the NDPS Act.

-And-

In the matter of : Nur Islam Miah @ Nur Islam Mia

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Soumik Ganguly
Ms. Priti Barman

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Joydeep Roy
Mr. Dattatreya Dutta

... for the State-respondent(s)/STF

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case only on the accusation of raising his hand and there has been no recovery from the present petitioner.

Learned advocate has drawn the attention of the court to the evidence of one of the witnesses. It has been further contended that the petitioner is in custody since 20th October, 2024 [more than one year seven months] and some more time will be required to take the trial to its logical conclusion as, till date, only five witnesses have been examined and nine more witnesses are yet to be examined by the prosecution. Learned advocate, therefore, prays that

the petitioner may be enlarged on bail on any stringent condition.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the recovery in the present case is 467.18 kg of ganja/contraband. According to the learned advocate, the petitioner was supposed to receive the consignment and was in the vicinity of the seizure. There are Call Data Records as also the *whatsapp* chats which reflect continuous communication between the present petitioner and the other accused persons who have been arrested. Learned advocate added that there is also money trail available in the materials which were collected in course of the investigation.

Having regard to the quantum of seizure and the complicity of the present petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the present petitioner on bail at this stage when the evidence is at a crucial stage.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

However, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude within a year from the date of communication of this order.

Accordingly, CRM (NDPS) 807 of 2026 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)