

27.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.72
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1206 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Haroa** P.S. Case No.**513 of 2025** dated **22.09.2025** under Sections **126(2)/329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/74/89/351(2)/3(5)** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Amirul Molla

....Petitioner.

Mr. Surojit Basu
Ms. Jasika Alam

....for the petitioner

Ms. Shaila Afrin
Ms. Sima Biswas

....for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. There is a case and counter case between the private parties. There is also a delay in lodging the FIR. The petitioner is merely a neighbour of the former wife of husband of the victim lady. Some other co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She relies on the medical reports, which show that there was a missed abortion and that the history recorded was of physical assault. She also relies on the statement of the victim and the statements of the neighbours. It is alleged that the petitioner and others came and severely assaulted the victim lady, causing her miscarriage. The accused who were granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Court were either female members or aged male member.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)