

16.04.2026

Sl. No.11.

D/L.

Mithun.

Ct.No.29.

CRR/1666/2026

Shanku Nayak & Ors.

Vs.

National Investigation Agency & Anr.

Mr. Sabyasachi Banerjee, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Soumen Mohanty,
Mr. Ayan Poddar,
Mr. Agnish Basu,
Mr. Vipul Vedant,
Mr. Aditya Roy,
Mr. Shounak Mondal,
Mr. Ayaan Ahmed

...for the petitioners

Mr. Moyukh Mukherjee,
Mr. Koustav Lal Mukherjee,
Ms. Sagnika Banerjee

...for opposite party no.2

Mr. Asoke Kr Chakrabarti, Ld. ASG.,
Mr. Arun Kr Mohanty (Maiti), SPP, NIA,
Mr. Bhaskar Prosad Banerjee, SPP, NIA
Mr. Debayan Sen, SPP, NIA.,
Mr. Debasish Tandon, P.P. NIA

...for the NIA

In this application the petitioners have challenged the respective notices sent to them under Section 179 of the BNSS dated 11th April, 2026.

The contention made by learned Counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Banerejee is that the petitioners have been made accused in the said proceeding and, therefore, the notices under Section 179 of the BNSS have got no application in respect of the present petitioners.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the NIA submits that they want to withdraw the said notices under Section 179 of the BNSS dated 11th April, 2026 sent to all the four accused persons.

In reply, learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that since NIA has decided to withdraw the notices sent under Section 179 of the BNSS, at this stage they also do not want to continue with their prayer for quashing of the impugned proceeding.

In such view of the matter, CRR 1666 of 2026 stands dismissed being infructuous in view of the decision taken by the NIA to withdraw the said notices sent to the petitioners under Section 179 of the BNSS.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)