

11.05.2026
Sl. No.21
NB

CRM (A) 1148 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Basirhat PS Case No.832/2025 dated 02.09.2025 under Sections 308(4)/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Hasibul Sekh @ Hasibur Sekh & Anr.

... petitioners

Mr. Jisan Iqbal Hossain

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya,

Mr. Koustav Lal Mukherjee.

.....Amicus

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that there was a dispute regarding promoting business. This led to the informant falsely implicating the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the FIR, the statements of two eye-witnesses and the document showing bank transfer made online on the date of registration of the FIR. The informant alleged that the petitioners had come with their leader, put a gun on the head of the de facto complainant and took away money totalling Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs only) by online transfers. The FIR was lodged immediately thereafter and there are eye-witnesses to the said incident.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)