

20.04.2026
Sl. No.26
NB

CRM (A) 1137 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kaliachak PS Case No.1067/2025 dated 16.06.2025 under Sections 126(2)/109/351(2)/3(5) of BNS, 2023 read with Section 25(1-B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

And

In the matter of: Mikail Sk @ Mikail Sekh & Ors. ... petitioners

Md. Wasim Akram.
...for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjay Bardhan,
Ms. Diksha Ghosh.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. More than 20 persons were named as accused by the informant, Pradhan. Local politics of the village led to the registration of a false FIR. No one received any injury.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of eye-witnesses who have clearly taken the name of the present petitioners as assailants. He refers to the seizure list for one bullet recovered from the body of the main victim, as well as the seizure of other arms and ammunitions. He also relies on the medical report of the person who was shot at. Some of the petitioners also have criminal antecedents.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)