

18.05.2026
Sl. No.20
Ct. 28
NB

C.R.M (A) 1141 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kulti PS Case No.622/2025 dated 01.11.2025 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Karuna Majee

... petitioner

Mr. Avik Ghatak,
Mr. Fahad Imam,
Mr. Sagnik Mukherjee,
Mr. Saptaswajit Kar.
...for the petitioners.

Mr. Pritam Roy

..... Amicus.

Memo of Evidence filed by the Amicus is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is a neighbour of the de facto complainant. There had been certain disputes between the neighbouring families. In the FIR, it has been alleged that the principal blow on the head was given by the husband of the petitioner and that the present petitioner allegedly attacked the victim, causing injury to his forearm.

Learned Amicus assisting the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the injury report, which shows injuries inflicted both on the head requiring stitches for repair and on the forearm. He also relies on the FIR and the statements of witnesses.

Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary, the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner and the fact that the petitioner is a female member of the household, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required in this case and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer and subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and on further condition that the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)