

M/L 500
10.04.2026
Kausik
ct.no.35

CRR 1341 of 2024

With

CRAN 1 of 2024

CRAN 2 of 2024

CRAN 3 of 2025

CRAN 5 of 2025

CRAN 7 of 2026

Deepak Shankar Ray & Ors.

Versus

State of West Bengal & Anr.

Ms. Malabika Saha

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury

Mr. Anand Keshari

Mrs. Subhasree Patel

...for the State.

Petitioner has prayed for quashing of the proceedings in respect of the charge sheet submitted in connection with Chatterjeehat PS Case No. 78 of 2023.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner has referred to the supplementary affidavit wherein further investigation report was submitted by the CID. Report reflects that no further materials were collected and as such restricted with the case to the charge sheet dated 31.10.2023 which was earlier submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

Learned advocate for the petitioner emphasized that so far as the accusations which

have been made in the FIR and the subsequent statements of the defacto complainant/opposite party no. 2 is concerned, there is a wide variation between the two, which calls for interference by this Court.

Learned advocate submits that there were subsequent FIRs at the instance of the said opposite party no. 2 which is sufficient to draw a presumption that, with a mala fide objective, the opposite party no. 2 has been pursuing criminal litigations for having a spite upon the petitioner.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case diary.

I have taken into account the materials appearing in the case diary, particularly, with regard to the materials collected by the Investigating Agency while submitting the charge sheet dated 31.10.2023 is concerned. Till date the charges have not been considered by the jurisdictional Court and copies have till date not been supplied to the petitioner.

Having regard to the stage of the case, I am of the view that at this stage, it would be in the interest of justice that the petitioner first canvasses her issues before the learned jurisdictional Court after obtaining the copies on which the prosecution proposes to rely upon.

Petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court at a subsequent stage if she is faced with adverse consequences by the learned Trial Court.

At this stage, there is no scope for interference.

Accordingly, the revisional application being CRR 1341 of 2024 is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

Pending applications, if any, is consequently disposed of.

Case diary be returned to the learned advocate for the State.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)