

35
27.01.2026
Ct. No. 18
SB

In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 8956 of 2024

Biplab Seth
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sabyasachi Mukherjee
Mr. Mukesh Khanna
Mr. Biswajit Mukherjee
Ms. Swastika Saha
Mr. Ranabir Halder
Ms. Debjani Chakraborty ... for the petitioner

Mr. Samim-ul-Bari
Mr. Amartya Pal ... for the School Authority

Mr. Supriya Chattopadhyay, Ld. A.G.P.
Ms. Sayantanee Bhattacharjee
... for the State

Mr. Subhajyoti Das
... for the respondent no. 14

1. Report filed by the Assistant Inspector of Schools (Secondary Education) on behalf of the District Inspector of Schools (Secondary Education), Hooghly in Court today is taken on record.
2. The petitioner is unable to show that any order was passed in his favour staying the recruitment process held in the year 2008. The petitioner alleging violation of the order passed by the Court filed contempt application in the year 2008 and thereafter withdrew the same.

3. Prayer has been made to permit the petitioner to participate in a fresh selection process by cancelling the appointment of the private respondent.
4. The respondents raise the issue of maintainability of the writ petition at the instance of the petitioner.
5. Admittedly, it appears that the cause of action in respect of the instant writ petition arose in the year 2008. The recruitment process stood concluded and the panel prepared by the Selection Committee stood approved by the District Inspector of Schools way back in 2015. The selected candidate is in service for more than ten years.
6. The Court does not find any reason to interfere in the instant writ petition at the instance of the petitioner, an aspiring candidate, who challenges the panel which was approved by the District Inspector of Schools more than ten years ago. If the petitioner had any genuine grievance he ought not to have withdrawn the contempt application and should have ventilated all his grievances at the proper time.
7. In view of the above, the writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.
8. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
9. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)