

16.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.25
ssi

CRM (A) 1113 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Tarakeswar Police Station Case No.**434** dated **12.11.2025** under Sections 329 (3)/115(2)/117(2)/118(1)/109/74/352/351(3)/3(5) BNS 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Pramita Das & another.**

.... Petitioners

Mr. Amitava Chowdhury
Mr. Debasis Sur
Mr. Dilip Kumar Das

...for the petitioners

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Ld. APP
Ms. Arani Bhattacharyya

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioner no.1 is the daughter in law of the petitioner no.2. The principal accused, being one Madan Das, was arrested and was thereafter granted bail. The dispute arose over plying of Toto by the said principal accused on a road which led to the house of the alleged victim.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statement of the victim, according to which, it was the petitioner no.1 who had assaulted her on the neck with a 'boti'. As per the injury report, the injury was grievous in nature.

Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary and the alleged roles ascribed to each of the present petitioners, while I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner no.2, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no.1 is rejected.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner no.2 shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and on further conditions that the petitioner no.2 shall surrender before the learned jurisdictional Court and pray for bail within four weeks from this date and shall attend the jurisdictional Court regularly. The petitioner no.2 shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)