

10.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.18
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1101 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Ghatal** P.S. Case No.**377 of 2025** dated **31.10.2025** under Sections **329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/109(1)/76/351(2)/3(5)** of the BNS..

And

In the matter of: Madan Makhal

....Petitioner.

Mr. Malay Bhattacharya
Mr. Madan Mohan Roy
Mr. Subhrojyoti Ghosh

...for the petitioner

Mr. Arindam Sen
Ms. Sayanti Santra

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. There was a scuffle between relatives. No grievous injury was caused.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the injury report, which show cut injury inflicted on the scalp, which required four stitches for repair. The name of the present petitioner has been specifically taken in the injury report as the principal assailant. He also relies on the statement of the victim and other witnesses.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)