

20.04.2026
Sl. No.17
NB

CRM (A) 1087 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bamongola PS Case No.06/2026 dated 21.01.2026 under Sections 4 of the POCSO Act, 2012.

And

In the matter of: Laxman Ray @ Roy Laxman ... petitioner

Mr. Kalidas Saha,
Ms. Khushi Mollick,
Mr. Pikesh Kumar Chauhan.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Das Adhikari,
Ms. Sreetama Das.
..for the State.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

No one appears on behalf of the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that his client has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statement of the 14 year old victim recorded before the learned Magistrate clearly implicating the 68 year old petitioner. He also refers to the statements of other witnesses including an immediate post-occurrence witness present at page 33 of the case dairy.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)