

D/L237
24.04.2026

Bpg.
Allowed

C.R.M. (M) 886 of 2026

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita filed in connection with Shasan Police Station Case No.327 of 2025 dated 09.11.2025 under Sections 103(1)/80(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 85/80(2)/316(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act;

Mekail Haque
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty
Mr. S.S. Saha.
...for the petitioner.

Ms. Zareen N. Khan
Mr. Atif Ahmed Siddiqui.
...for the State.

Petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased. Mother-in-law and sister-in-law of the deceased have already been released on bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for five months and charge-sheet has already been submitted. Prosecution in the charge-sheet has relied upon 24 witnesses which will consume some time, as such, petitioner may be released on bail on any stringent conditions.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail, draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the

neighbours and the relatives which reflect that soon after marriage the deceased was subjected to torture, abuse by the husband and all the inmates of the matrimonial home including the present petitioner.

I have taken into account the post-mortem report which do not reflect any physical injury but the doctor opinion was that death was due to effect of hanging which were ante mortem in nature.

Learned advocate for the State has submitted that already evidence has commenced, two of the witnesses have been examined and the evidence of third witness is in progress. There are 21 more witnesses to be examined by the prosecution, some time will be required to take the trial to its logical conclusion.

Petitioner is in custody for five months. Having regard to the same, I am of the opinion that further detention of the present petitioner is unwarranted. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed. The petitioner, namely, Mekail Haque shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned trial court. The petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned trial court and shall not leave the district of Birbhum without prior permission of the learned trial court.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 886 of 2026 is allowed.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)