

D/L 225

24.04.2026
Rohit, A.R.(Ct.)
ct.no.35
Allowed

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M (M) 870 of 2026

**Soumen Ankur @ Suman Ankur
Vs
The State of West Bengal & Anr.**

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Suri Police
Station** Case No. **370 of 2025** dated **23.06.2025** under Sections
316(2)/69/351(2)/64 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023
And

**In the matter of : Soumen Ankur @ Suman Ankur
.....Petitioner**

Mr. Saryati Datta
Ms. Rajnandini Das

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Arindam Sen
Mr. Rajesh Jana

...for the State

1. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about 110 days and charge-sheet has already been submitted. Prosecution has relied upon 14 witnesses in order to prove its case. As such there is no possibility of the trial being taken to its logical conclusion. Consequently on any stringent condition petitioner may be released on bail.

2. Learned Advocate for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and drawn the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim under Section 183 of the BNSS as also the medical reports.

3. On perusal of the statement of the victim before the learned Magistrate I find that the relationship continued from the year 2022. The victim is thirty two years old, married and subsequently divorced. She was able to understand the consequences of her act. Some time would be required to take the trial to its logical conclusion and having regard to the same and without entering into the merits of the case I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. As such prayer for bail is allowed.

1. Accordingly, Petitioners, namely, **Soumen Ankur @ Suman Ankur** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each. One of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned CJM, Suri, Birbhum.

2. If on bail, petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of Birbhum without the prior permission of the learned Court.

3. Accordingly, CRM (M) 870 of 2026 is **allowed**.

4. All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

5. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)