

14.05.2026
Court No.28
Item No.13
ssi

CRM (A) 1058 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Baishnabnagar Police Station Case No. 692 of 2025 dated 10.06.2025 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Jamir Sk @ Jamirul Sk.**

.... Petitioner

Ms. Sabrina Parveen

...for the petitioner

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharyya
Mr. Priyankar Ganguly

...Amicus

Copy of memo of evidence as filed in Court is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He only tried to resolve the dispute that arose out of a fight between kids.

Learned Amicus assisting the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner and some other accused hit the husband of the de facto complainant with a 'hansua' on his head and forehead resulting in fracture injuries. He relies on the statement of the victim, the statements of other witnesses and the injury report. He also submits that a proclamation has been issued against the petitioner.

Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary and the fact that proclamation has already been issued against the petitioner, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)