

ML-896

**19.02.2026**  
Court No.15  
[Bench ID-**265781**]  
(AD)

**In the High Court at Calcutta**  
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction  
Appellate Side

**WPA 7818 of 2025**

**Sk. Safiqul Islam**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Soujanya Pattanayak, Advocate  
Mr. Syed Murshid Alam, Advocate  
Mr. Subrata Ghosh, Advocate  
Mr. Sammik Bagchi, Advocate  
Mr. Mithun Mondal, Advocate  
Mr. Marid Hossain, Advocate

... for the petitioner

The petitioner alleges that Respondent Nos.  
11 to 13 have undertaken unauthorized  
construction.

However, the writ petition is conspicuously  
silent as to the nature and extent of the alleged  
unauthorized construction. The representations  
annexed thereto similarly fail to specify the  
particulars of the purported illegality. In one such  
representation, it is alleged that Respondent Nos.  
9 to 12 have obstructed the staircase leading to  
the roof of the petitioner's building. In another, it  
is stated that the said respondents are  
constructing a boundary wall and a residential  
structure.

In the absence of clear and specific  
averments regarding the nature of the  
construction complained of, the petitioner cannot

reasonably expect a Writ Court to initiate or direct demolition proceedings. Relief under writ jurisdiction cannot be granted on the basis of vague and inconsistent allegations.

Accordingly, **WPA 7818 of 2025** is **dismissed**.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the learned Advocates for the parties on usual undertaking.

**(Kausik Chanda, J.)**