

10.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.9
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1060 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Basirhat** P.S. Case No.**188 of 2020** dated **10.02.2020** under Sections **341/307/506/120B/115/34** of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: Sourav Mondal @ Paltu Mondal

....Petitioner.

Mr. Jyotirmoy talukdar

...for the petitioner

Mr. Bidyut Kr. Roy

Mr. Kunal Ganguly

... for the State

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is absolutely innocent. He has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statement of an injured eye-witness who received a gunshot injury and identified the petitioner as one of the assailants who had attacked him. Reliance is also placed on the injury report and the statements of other witnesses. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a history sheeter. He has as many as three murder cases where he has been implicated, apart from other criminal cases.

Considering the above and the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)