

Item No.1
30.01.2026
Court. No. 6
GB

C.O. 1164 of 2025

Balaji Group
Vs.
Seikh Samiron Bibi & Ors.

Mr. Anirban Das

...for the Petitioner.

1. The file cannot be traced. A true copy of the said revisional application has been filed by the learned advocate for the petitioner. The same be treated as the original. Department is directed to take necessary steps in this regard by treating the copy as the original revisional application.
2. Upon perusal of the order dated February 27, 2025, it appears that the petitioner filed an application under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure seeking vacation of the ad interim order of injunction dated February 13, 2025. The learned court dismissed the said application on the ground that, in the absence of the other parties, the application could not be entertained.
3. It is submitted that the injunction application has been heard. The matter has been posted for orders by the learned court on various dates, but the final order upon hearing the injunction application has not yet been passed.
4. Under such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the revisional application has now become

infructuous as the final hearing of the injunction application has been done and the petitioner participated in the said proceeding. However, the learned court is requested to dispose of the said application expeditiously, in view of the fact that the hearing was concluded long time back.

5. The petitioner is at liberty to communicate this order to all the parties and the learned trial court.
6. Accordingly, the revisional application is disposed of.
7. Parties are directed to act on the basis of the server copy of this order.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)