

23.04.2026

Court No.35.

D/L. 164.

Kausik

(Allowed)

CRM (M) 824 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Kankartala Police
Station Case No. 26/2025 dated 23.02.2025 under sections
108/85 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Kazi Arif @ Arif Kazi

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Saryati Datta
Ms. Rajnandini Das

.....for the Petitioner.

Ms. Shaila Afrin
Ms. Sritama Das

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that charge sheet has already been submitted and the
petitioner is in custody for 57 days. The deceased was the wife
of the present petitioner and she committed suicide.
Prosecution has relied upon 21 witnesses in order to prove its
case. As such, the petitioner prays for bail on any stringent
conditions.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for
bail.

I have taken into account the materials appearing in
the case diary, particularly the statement of the neighbours. It

also appears from the records of the case that it was the victim who decided to terminate her life. Post-mortem report does not reflect that there was any physical assault upon the victim/deceased.

Having regard to the totality of the circumstances and the time which will be consumed for taking the trial to its logical conclusion, I am of the view that as the investigation has been concluded and the petitioner is in custody, further custodial detention will not serve any purpose.

As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed.

Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Kazi Arif @ Arif Kazi** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned ACJM, Dubrajpur, Birbhum.

If on bail, petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of Birbhum without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

Additionally, petitioner would, for the next 6 months, meet with the Officer-in-Charge of Kankartala Police Station once in a fortnight. Whether such condition would continue or not, would be the discretion of the learned Trial Court and further continuation or waiver of such condition will be decided by the learned Trial Court.

With the aforesaid observations CRM(M) 824 of 2026 is **allowed.**

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)