

02.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.10
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 1012 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Nowda** P.S. Case No.**182 of 2025** dated **01.07.2025** under Sections **329(4)/118(2)/109/103(1)/61(2)/3(5)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and 3/4 of E.S. Act and 25/27 of Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: Taha Mondal @ Tahajul Mondal & Anr.

....Petitioners.

Mr. Partha Pratim Das

...for the petitioners

Mr. Joydeep Biswas

Ms. Kanchan Roy

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no overt act attributed to the present petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She refers to the post mortem report and submits that the victim died due to bomb blast injuries. She refers to the statements of eye-witnesses including the statement of the victim's daughter recorded before the learned Magistrate, which specifically implicates the present petitioners.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)