

14.05.2026
Court No.28
Item No.139
ssi

CRM (A) 996 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Kharagpur Local Police Station Case No. 576 of 2025 dated 25.06.2025 under Sections 137 (2)/140(2)/140(3) of the BNS 2023 which culminated into Charge Sheet No. 892 of 2025 dated 21.09.2025 under Sections 137 (2)/140(2)/140(3) /64/123/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 read with Section 4 of the POCSO Act..

And

In the matter of: **Chandan Bera**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Arindam Jana
Mr. Yuvraj Chatterjee
Mr. Apurba Kumar Chakraborty
Mr. Rahul Surtani

...for the petitioner

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharyya
Mr. Priyankar Ganguly

...Amicus

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is not the principal accused. The principal accused has already been arrested. The only allegation against the petitioner is that the principal accused had used the house of the petitioner to keep the minor victim girl confined. However, there are several contradictions in the statement made by the victim. She, even, refused to undergo medical examination. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned Amicus assisting the State relies on the case diary and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that phone call records are available with the Investigating Agency. Phone calls were made at the relevant time between the petitioner and the other

accused. Moreover, the minor victim was kept kidnapped and kept in the petitioner's house and she was recovered from the said house.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)