

20.04.2026

Item No.204

Ct.No.35

dc.

Allowed

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 792 of 2026

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Kakdwip Police Station Case No. 280 of 2025 dated 09.12.2025 under Sections 85/103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 85/123/103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (G.R. Case No. 2680 of 2025).

And

In Re : **Sk Safik**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Ayan Basu,
Mr. Sandip Kumar Mondal,
Mr. Sumit Routh

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty,
Ms. Sreetama Das

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for more than four months and incident happened after 18 years of marriage. The accusation against the petitioner is that he has administered poison. Petitioner is the sole accused and prosecution has relied upon 22 witnesses in the charge-sheet.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the daughter of the deceased and the petitioner.

I have taken into account the same along with the statement of the attending witnesses. Having considered the overall circumstances and the fact that prosecution has

relied upon 22 witnesses in order to prove its case, I am of the opinion that further incarceration of the present petitioner in connection with the instant case is unwarranted. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, the petitioner viz., **Sk Safik** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kakdwip.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date so fixed by the learned Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of South 24-Parganas without prior permission of the learned Court.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 792 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)