

Indranil Chatterjee
-vs-
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Pintu Karar,
Ms. Meghna Chowdhury

....for the petitioner.

Mr. Santanu Kumar Mitra,
Mr. Subhabrata Das

....for the State.

Mr. Rajen Dutta,
Mr. Sourav Sengupta,
Mr. Subhamoy Bhattacharjee

....for the respondent nos.1 & 2.

Ms. Ameer Rana,
Mr. Chetan Kumar Kabra,
Ms. Tanu Raina,
Ms. Nitya Nath

....for the respondent no.9.

1. Pursuant to the previous order passed on this writ petition a notice dated 9th March, 2026 issued by the Law Enforcement Agency is placed before this Court by the learned advocate representing the respondent no.9 and same is taken on record.
2. However, it is submitted by Ms. Rana, learned advocate representing the respondent no.9 on virtual mode that Facebook account of the petitioner has been restored.
3. State respondents are represented by learned advocates.
4. Learned advocate representing the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed.

5. In view of the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner and respondents no.9, it appears that grievance of the petitioner is redressed and no further order need be passed on this writ petitioner.
6. The writ petition stands disposed of.
7. Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)