

20.04.2026
Serial no. 191
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 778 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Haringhata Police Station Case No. 76 of 2022 dated 23rd March, 2022 u/s 498A/302/34 of the IPC, 1860 read with Section 4 of the DP Act.

-And-

In the matter of : Miyaraj Molla @ Rajesh & Ors.

Mr. Debarshi Brahma	... Petitioner(s)
Mr. Subarna Bank	
Mr. Iqbal Kabir	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Praksh Mishra	
	... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody for more than four years and till date 10 witnesses out of the 15 witnesses cited by the prosecution have been examined.

The petitioner no.1 is the husband, petitioner no.2 is the father-in-law and the petitioner no.3 is the mother-in-law of the deceased.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there are overwhelming materials.

Be that as it may, I have taken into account the overall circumstances of the case including the period of detention and the fact that there are five more witnesses to be examined.

Having regard to the same, at this stage, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner no.1 on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner no.1 **[Miyaraj Molla @ Rajesh]** is **rejected**.

However, so far as the petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are concerned, their prayer for bail is allowed.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner nos. 2 and 3 is **Allowed**.

Accordingly, the petitioner no.2 **[Aji Molla]** and petitioner no.3 **[Murshida Bibi]** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only) **each**, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Additional Sessions Judge, FTC Court, Kalyani, Nadia.

If on bail, the petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 shall also make themselves physically available on each and every date so fixed by the learned trial court and shall not leave the district of Nadia without prior intimation to the learned trial court.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 778 of 2026 is **Partly allowed**.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

