

30.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.250
ssi

CRM (M) 751 of 2026

In Re: - An application for cancellation of Bail under Section 483 (3) of the BNSS 2023.

And

In the matter of: **XXX**

.... Petitioner.

Mr. Abdul Hadi
Mr. Noor Islam Seikh

...for the petitioner

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. Although there was a serious charge of rape made during continuation of the criminal proceeding, the private opposite party was granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge. The accused is a man of ill-repute and had been manipulating the petitioner in more than one way. The petitioner has lodged more complaint against the accused.

It appears from the order dated 07.03.2026 passed by the learned Sessions Judge that he has taken into consideration the FIR and the other materials available in the case diary. He has clearly recorded that there was no allegation of rape whatsoever contained in the FIR. After about three months from the registration of the FIR, a statement was made prompting inclusion of such provision. It was also found that the petitioner had soon thereafter lodged another complaint against the accused.

It appears that the learned Sessions Judge had carefully gone through the materials available in the case diary and thereafter passed a very reasoned order.

Therefore, I do not find any reason to interfere with the order passed by the learned Sessions Judge.

In view of the above, the application for cancellation of anticipatory bail is dismissed.

However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)