

WPA 4025 of 2016

Chandan Kumar Moitra
-Vs.-
WBSEDCL and Ors.

Mr. Sumit Kr. Panja
Mr. S.S. Koley

...for the WBSEDCL

None appears on behalf of the petitioner nor is any adjournment prayed for even in the second call.

The respondent is represented.

This matter was appearing in the Warning List and the petitioner had sufficient notice that the same would be taken up for hearing.

In view of the non-appearance of the petitioner, **WPA 4025 of 2016** along with connected interlocutory application stands dismissed for default.

Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.

.

(Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.)