

D/L170
17.04.2026

Bpg.
Allowed

C.R.M. (M) 734 of 2026

In Re: An application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Karimpur Police Station Case No.186 of 2024 dated 14.10.2024 under Sections 103/238/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act and adding Section 303 (2)/317(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 103/238/3(5)/303(2)/317(2)/61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023;

Lalim Sk @ Shaikh @ Seikh
Versus
State of West Bengal

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty
Mr. Amanul Islam
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur
Ms. Sujata Das.
...for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year five months. Other accused persons have been granted bail in CRM(DB)952 of 2025 as well as CRM(M) 490 of 2026. It has been submitted that another accused person was granted bail in CRM(M)1846 of 2025. Learned advocate for the petitioner has also drawn the attention of the Court to the findings of the Co-ordinate Bench which reasoned for releasing the petitioner Mikail Sk.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the seizure list would reflect that from the present

accused Lalim Sk. there were recoveries of the knife as well as cash of Rs.30,000/- and one gold ring. So far as Mikail Sk. is concerned, there has been recovery of the mobile phone of the deceased.

Having regard to the observations made by the Co-ordinate Bench, I am of the view that the offence was committed in a manner which is a result of conspiracy. Consequently, it would be very difficult to distinguish the role of each of the accused persons, as other accused persons have been granted bail, petitioner on grounds of parity is being released on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed. The petitioner, namely, Lalim Sk @ Shaikh @ Seikh shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Tehatta, Nadia. If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date before the learned court and shall not leave the district of Nadia without prior permission of the learned court.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 734 of 2026 is allowed.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

