

28.04.2026
Sl. No.120
NB

CRM (A) 907 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kaliganj PS Case No.11/2026 dated 03.01.2026 under Sections 115(2)/117(2)/109/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Sahajaddin Sekh & Anr.

... petitioners

Mr. Sumanta Das.
...for the petitioners.

Ms. Baisali Basu,
Mr. Sobham Goni.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. There was a scuffle between neighbours, which resulted injuries. But, none was grievous in nature.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She relies on the statements of local eye-witnesses and the two injury reports, which show infliction of injuries on vital parts of the body like head with an iron rod, causing wounds requiring stitches.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)