

20.04.2026
Serial no. 196
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 784 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with POCSO Case No. 06 of 2025 arising out of Sankrail PS Case No. 10 of 2025 dated 01.02.2025 u/s 65(2)/351(3) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 4/6 of the POCSO Act...
-And-

In the matter of : Jatin Sarkar

... .. Petitioner(s)

Mr. Soumyait Das Mahapatra
Ms. Madhurai Sinha
Ms. Upasana Banerjee

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anupam Das Adhikary
Ms. Srilekha Chattopadhyay

... for the State-respondent(s)

Mr. Sanjib Kr. Dan

... for the o.p. o. 2

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for one year two months nineteen days and added that the evidence of the vulnerable witnesses is over. It is also submitted that the petitioner is aged about 81 years. Learned advocate prays that the petitioner may be enlarged on any stringent condition.

Learned advocate for the defacto-complainant is present and opposes the prayer for bail. Learned advocate submits that according to the charge-sheet the petitioner is aged about 59 years.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the court to the statement of the victim under section 164 of the

Cr.P.c./Section 183 of the BNSS as also the medicolegal examination report of the victim.

Having considered the aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM (M) 784 of 2026 is **Dismissed.**

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)