

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
(Appellate Side)

W.P.A. 6275 OF 2026

LOHARAM HALDAR
VS.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND OTHERS

Ms. Sananda Bhattacharyya, Adv.
...for the Petitioner

Mr. Suman Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Moniruzzaman, Adv.
...for the State

Mr. Zubair Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Rasidul Islam, Adv.
...for the Respondent No.12

1. At the time of call learned advocate representing the petitioner submits that the writ petition is not pressed with liberty to file afresh.
2. State respondents and respondent no.12 are represented by learned advocates.
3. In view of such submission writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed with liberty to file afresh with better particulars on self-same cause of action.
4. Parties to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

(SAUGATA BHATTACHARYYA, J.)