

16/04
2026

AD/06/24
266312
NANDY

(DO)

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA/5794/2025

HABIB MASUM
VS.
UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Mr. Gaurav Das, Advocate

.....for the Petitioner

Mr. Suman Dey, Advocate

.....for the State

Mr. Uttam Kumar Mandal, Advocate

Ms. Udit Mondal, Advocate

.....for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 (University)

Mr. Sarwar Jahan, Advocate

Ms. Mousumi Mitra, Advocate

Ms. Sahina Parvin, Advocate

.....for the Respondent Nos. 6 & 7

Mr. Kumar Jyoti Tewari, Advocate

Ms. Anamika Pandey, Advocate

Mr. Aniruddha Tewari, Advocate

.....for the Respondent No. 1

1. Affidavit-in-opposition, filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2, is taken on record.
2. Parties are represented.
3. Mr. Das, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner, submits that during the pendency of the writ-petition, the grievance of the petitioner has been adequately addressed.
4. Mr. Jahan, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent nos. 6 and 7, admits the same.
5. Mr. Mondal, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent nos. 2, 3 & 5 admits the same.
6. Since the matter has been resolved amicably between the parties, the allegations made in the writ-petition as well as in the affidavit-in-opposition, are deemed to have been denied.
7. In view of the afore-stated, **WPA/5794/2025** is **disposed of**.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)

