

10.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.60
ssi

CRM (A) 824 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Bansdroni Police Station Case No. 07 of 2026 dated 09.01.2026 under Sections 318(4)/316(2)/61(2) of the BNS 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Samiulla Molla**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Kunal Ganguly

...for the petitioner

Mr. Iqbal Kabir

Mr. S. Shekhar Saha

...for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The de facto complainant is an influential lawyer. So, the petitioner is apprehensive to go to the police despite notices having been issued.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. The petitioner is part of a racket that is engaged in defrauding people. In this case, an offer made to sell old gold coins. Rs. 10 lakhs was taken, but the coins supplied were found to be made of bronze and some other metals. He relies on the statements of witnesses and phone call records and submits that the petitioner has not complied with the notices issued.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the fact that the petitioner did not respond to the notices issued, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)