

09.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.92
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 818 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Md. Bazar** P.S. Case No.**431 of 2025** dated **14.11.2025** under Sections **115(2)/117(2)/109/351(2)/3(5)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023.

And

In the matter of: Narayan Roy & Anr.

....Petitioners.

Mr. Saryati Datta

...for the petitioners

Mr. Imran Ali

Mrs. Ratna Ghosh

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. There was a free fight between co-villagers. Both sides received injuries. There are case and counter case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of victims and the other witnesses. He also refers to the two injury reports, one of which shows, among other things, infliction of 5 cm long lacerated wound on a vital part of the body like scalp. The bed head ticket records the injury as grievous.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)