

08.04.2026
Court No.28
Item No.97
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 777 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Tehatta** P.S. Case No.**942 of 2025** dated **24.11.2025** under Sections **85/103/3(5)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

And

In the matter of: Ashis @ Ashish Halder

....Petitioner.

Mr. Amanul Islam
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee
Mr. Raja Das

...for the petitioner

Mr. Partha Pratim Das
Mr. Sourat Nandy

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the brother-in-law of the alleged victim. The marriage between the couple took place eight years ago. Among the other things, it was alleged that the husband of the victim had an illicit relationship with his sister-in-law. The husband surrendered and was thereafter granted bail. The sister-in-law was arrested and was later granted bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner along with the other in-laws were also made accused in an earlier case started by the de facto complainant, alleging torture due to dowry demand. A charge sheet has been submitted in that case. In spite of this, the torture continued unabated, which finally resulted in the death of the alleged victim. He also relies on the post mortem report and the statements of witnesses including local witnesses.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)