

31.03.2026
Serial no. 150
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 645 of 2026

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with POCSO Case No. 84 of 2024 arises out of Chapra Police Station Case No. 791 of 2024 dated 01.08.2024 u/s 65(2) of the BNS and 6 POCSO Act.

-And-

In the matter of : Aroj Biswas

Mr. Apurba Kumar Datta	... Petitioner(s)
Ms. Sreemoyee Mukherjee	
Mr. Arindam Sen	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Tirthankar Dhali	
Mr. S. Pattanayak	... for the State-respondent(s)
Mr. Syed Murshid Alam	
Mr. Syed Chandan Hossain	
Mr. M. Seik	
Mr. Subrata Ghosh	
	... for the defacto – complainant(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 525 days and the vital witnesses of the case have already been examined, as such, the petitioner may be released on bail.

Learned advocate for the State submits that out of the 15 witnesses proposed to be examined by the prosecution, 11 witnesses have already been examined.

Learned advocate for the defacto-complainant is present and opposes the prayer for bail.

Having considered the advanced stage of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is
Rejected.

However, the learned trial court would ensure that within the three months from the next date fixed, the evidence of the prosecution witnesses is complete.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 645 of 2026 is **dismissed.**

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)