

In the High Court at Calcutta

Special Civil Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

Contempt

01 06.02.2026

Sc Ct. no.38

Case No. :

CPAN 392 OF 2025

arising out of

WPA 27247 OF 2024

In the matter of :

Smt. Soma Das

.... Petitioner

VS.

Sri Puspanna Mondal

The Block Land and Land Reforms Officer

Chakdaha, Nadia

.... alleged Contemnor

For the Petitioner:

Mr. Sujit Kr. Bhattacharya

Mr. Mihir Kr. Das.

....Advocates

For the alleged Contemnor:

Mr. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay

Sr. Govt. Adv.

Mr. Priyabrata Batabyal

Ms. Suchana Banerjee.

....Advocates

This is a contempt proceeding arising from an order dated **November 27, 2024**. On **November 28, 2025** the compliance report has been filed by the alleged contemnor. Upon considering the said compliance report, the petitioner appears today.

Mr. Sujit Kr. Bhattacharya, learned Advocate with Mr. Mihir Kr. Das, learned Advocate appears for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyabrata Batabyal, learned Advocate led by Mr. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay, learned Senior Government Advocate appears for the alleged contemnor.

On perusal of the compliance report and the reasoned order, passed by the alleged contemnor dated **July 21, 2025** at **page 22** to the report it appears to this Court that, the direction of this Court has been complied with as the reasoned order has already been passed by the alleged contemnor.

The correctness or worthiness of the reasoned order cannot be assessed in a contempt proceeding.

If the petitioner is aggrieved with the said reasoned order, the petitioner shall have to take further recourse of law, available to her, in accordance with law.

This Court is satisfied that there is no contempt.

Accordingly, the proceeding, **CPAN 392 of 2025** stands **dropped** and **closed**.

The application, **CPAN 392 of 2025** stands **disposed of**.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)