

June 23, 2026
(37) ARDR

WPA 5371 of 2024

Shefali Banerjee
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Lakshminath Bhattacharya,
Adv. Subhankar Bose,
...for the petitioner.

Adv. Dr. Bishnupada Dutta,
Adv. PUja Naskar,
...for the respondent no. 9.

Adv. Sabyasachi Mondal,
Adv. Sarthak Dey,
Adv. Payel Khanra,
..for the respondent nos. 11 to 13.

Adv. Madhu Jana,
Adv. Puja Sonkar,
...for the State.

Supplementary affidavit filed by the petitioner is
taken on record.

Learned counsel for the Panchayat submits that he is
unable to get in touch with the Pradhan of the Panchayat
since he is not available and is therefore not able to submit
the report as called for.

The representation submitted by the petitioner
alleging unauthorised construction being raised by the
private respondents is pending. In view of such allegation,
the Pradhan, Dakshin Barasat Gram Panchayat, being the
9th respondent herein, is directed to consider and dispose
of the same within four weeks from the date of
communication of this order, upon granting reasonable
opportunity of hearing to all concerned including the
petitioner and the private respondents, in accordance with
law.

The decision taken by the authority shall be communicated to the parties within a week thereof.

In the event the construction raised by the private respondents is found to be illegal/unauthorised, the concerned authority shall take necessary steps in accordance with law.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

There shall however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)