

20.03.2026

12

Ct.No.237

Suman

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

CRM (M) 620 of 2026

In Re:- An application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bagdah
Police Station Case No.1101 of 2024 dated 21.11.2024 U/s.
14 Foreigners Act, 1946, subsequently Charge Sheet 930 of
2024 dated 30.11.2024 U/s 14A(b) Foreigners Act being
Sessions Case No.84 of 2025 pending before the learned
Additional District & Sessions Judge, Fast Track, 1st Court at
Bongaon, North 24 Parganas.

And

In the matter of : **Bidhan Biswas**

.... Petitioner

Mr. Tarunjyoti Tewari

Mr. Bikramjit Dutta

Ms. Kausiki Bose

..for the petitioner.

Mr. P. K. Datta, APP

Mr. Binay Panda

..for the State

The petitioner has remained in custody for a period of
484 days, and the charge has yet to be framed. It is evident
from the charge sheet that the State acknowledges that the
petitioner is a Hindu. The petitioner was arrested on
November 21, 2024.

Mr. P. K. Datta, learned Additional Public Prosecutor
appearing on behalf of the State, vehemently opposes the
prayer for bail.

Prima facie, it appears to this Court that the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of Clause 3(c) of the Circular dated September 1, 2025, issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs.

In view of the foregoing, this Court is of the opinion that the continued detention of the petitioner in custody is unwarranted.

Accordingly, the petitioner, namely **Bidhan Biswas**, shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), along with two sureties of the like amount each, one of whom must be a local resident, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Fast Track, 1st Court at Bongaon, North 24 Parganas.

This order is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall appear before the Trial Court on each date of hearing until further orders and shall not, in any manner whatsoever, intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence.

In the event that the petitioner fails to appear before the Trial Court without justifiable cause, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail in accordance with law, without making any further reference to this Court.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is **allowed**.

Accordingly, **CRM (M) 620 of 2026** is, thus, disposed of.

Let an urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the learned advocates for the parties on the usual undertakings.

(Kausik Chanda, J.)