

22/04/2026
D/L – 38
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Allowed

C.R.M.(A) 744 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Nabadwip P.S case no. 913 of 2025 dated 27/12/2025 under Sections 80/85/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Anowor Shaikh @ Anoyar & Ors.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Sumanta Das

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Saryati Dutta

Ms. Nahid Ahmed

...for the State.

1. Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners are the husband and the parents-in-law of the alleged victim. The marriage took place in the year 2024. The alleged victim developed an illicit relationship with the cousin brother of the petitioner no. 1. The cousin brother allegedly took some objectionable videos and photographs of the alleged victim and circulated these amongst the family members. This prompted the alleged victim to commit suicide. The said cousin brother has not been made an accused in this case. A pen drive containing such photographs and videos has already been handed over to the Investigating Officer.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the post-

mortem report and the statements of witnesses present at the case diary. He also refers to the statements of neighbours that have been recorded by the Investigating Officer, one of which refers to the alleged obscene photographs and videos and a dispute regarding an illicit relationship with the alleged victim and another.

4. Let the Investigating Officer explore the possibility of a third person being involved in this case.
5. Let the investigation of the case be further conducted under the supervision of the concerned Superintendent of Police.
6. Considering the above and the other materials available in the case diary, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the present petitioners is required in this case and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
7. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to further conditions that the petitioners shall cooperate with the investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate the witnesses. The petitioner nos. 1 and 2 shall meet the I.O once a week till submission of report in final form.
8. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is allowed.

9. The Investigating Officer shall take necessary precautions not to have the pictures and the videos circulated published and shall see to it that the pictures and video or handed over to any other persons. The pen drive shall be kept in a sealed cover and presented before the learned jurisdictional Court at the relevant time. The learned jurisdictional Court shall thereafter, take necessary steps not to have the pictures and videos circulated amongst any of the parties. If trial ensues, and the pictures and videos from part of the materials relied upon by the prosecution, copy of the same shall not be supplied. The parties/learned counsels concerned may at best be allowed only to take inspection, if necessary, upon taking necessary precaution and subject to necessary order to be passed in this regard.
10. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)