

Court No. 19
(265719)

17.03.2026
(AD 250)
(S. Banerjee)

WPA 5092 of 2026

Saktipada Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kamal Krishna Pathak
Ms. Swagata Nayak

...for the petitioner

Mr. Ankit Sureka
Mr. Biplob Das

...for the respondent nos. 2 & 3

Affidavit of service filed in Court today, is taken
on record.

Petitioner states that the petitioner was elected
as the Chairman of the Society in the meeting of the
Board of Directors which was held on August 14,
2024 after the erstwhile Chairman and the Secretary
resigned from their respective posts. Petitioner alleges
that the ex-Secretary and ex-Chairman being the 4th
and 5th respondent are illegally holding the post of the
office bearers of the said society and are participating
in the decision making process. Petitioner submitted
a representation before the Assistant Registrar of Co-
operative Societies ventilating his grievances.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner
submits that though a hearing was conducted at the

chamber of the Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies on September 13, 2024, but no decision of such hearing has been communicated to the petitioner till date.

Mr. Sureka, learned advocate appearing for the Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Co-operation Directorate, Contai Range – II, being the 3rd respondent, submits that the decision if not already taken, shall be taken within the time frame as may be fixed by this Court and the same shall be communicated to the petitioner and others.

In the light of the submissions made by the learned advocates appearing for the respective parties, this writ petition stands disposed of by directing the Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Co-operation Directorate, Contai Range – II, Purba Medinipur, being the 3rd respondent, to communicate the decision of the hearing held on September 13, 2024 as expeditiously as possible but positively on or before April 17, 2026.

It is, however, made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims made by the petitioner in this writ petition and all points are left open to be decided by the respondent authority.

Since no affidavits have been called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition shall not be deemed to have been admitted.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)