

31.03.2026
Court No.35.
D/L. 118.
Kausik
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 605 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Palashipara P.S.
Case No. 182/2025 dated 23.04.2025 under Sections 70(1) of
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

And

In the matter of : Rahul Das Bairagya

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Asraf Mandal

.....for the Petitioner.

Ms. Minoti Gomes

.....for the Defacto Complainant.

Mr. Partha Pratim Das

Mr. Sharequl Haque

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that there has been change of circumstances. Learned advocate
for the defacto complainant also consents to the submissions
advanced by the petitioner.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case
diary. The facts in the case diary state otherwise.

Consequently, I direct that if there are change of
circumstances, petitioner and the defacto complainant will
approach the learned Trial Court who at the relevant stage
would give priority for recording the evidence of the victim and
thereafter exercise his discretion in accordance with law.

At this stage, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 605 of 2026 is **dismissed**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)