

AD 110
April 6, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 725 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kaliganj P.S. Case No.451 of 2024 dated 02.06.2024 under Sections 307/326/34/427/452 of the IPC, 1860.

And

In the matter of: Kabiruddin Mandal @ Kobir Sk.
... petitioner

Mr. Atis Kumar Biswas
Ms. Jyoti Agarwal

... for the petitioner

Mr. Provash Bhattacharjee
Mr. Sourat Nandy

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was a backlash following a murder that had taken place in the area and the subsequent incident led to the registration of the present FIR. Nine persons have been mentioned in the charge-sheet. The petitioner stands on the same footing as a co-accused who has been granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Court.

Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, relies on the case diary and refers to the statements of the victim and other eyewitnesses, the injury report and the CT scan report of one of the victims, which shows a skull fracture. He submits that two persons were injured and the said victim had to remain in hospital for 24 days.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)