

06/04/2026
D/L – 94
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 696 of 2026

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Paikar P.S case no. 199 of 2025 dated 16/04/2025 under sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Kalez Khan @ Kolej Sk. & Ors.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Usof Ali Dewan
Mr. Asif Dewan
Mr. Mehedi Masud

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Arindam Sen
Md. Yaser A. Ismail

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. It is alleged that one Rocky Sk. had abducted the daughter-in-law of the de-facto complainant. This led to a 'salish', during which a free fight broke out. It is alleged that 15 persons assaulted the victims. Earlier, this Court had rejected the application for anticipatory bail of some of the male assailants while allowing the application for anticipatory bail of the female accused. No grievous injury was caused.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of the victim and other eye-witnesses and the injury reports. It appears that about four persons were attacked and sustained injuries on vital parts of the body, like the

head. Weapons like iron rods were used and the assault even resulted in cut injuries on the head requiring stitches for repair.

3. Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)