

02.04.2026
Sl. No.40
NB

CRM (A) 672 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Rampurhat PS Case No.32/2026 dated 14.01.2026 under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Anarul Sekh ... petitioner

Mr. Prasenjit Mukherjee,
Mr. Saptarshi Chakraborty,
Mr. Raja Roy.
...for the petitioner.

Ms. Baisali Basu,
Ms. Nandini Chatterjee.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the FIR was lodged about five months after the date of occurrence. Out of four accused, three were granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge while the petitioner's prayer was turned down.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She refers to the statements of witnesses including that of the victim and the injury report.

It appears that on the very date of occurrence i.e. 04.08.2025, the victim was treated at Emergency of the Government Hospital and took the name of the present petitioner as the assailant. The victim suffered serious injuries on vital parts of the body. She suffered a serious contusion injury with subconjunctival hemorrhage in the right eye.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)