

A755 15-01-2026
AKG
Ct. 15

WPA 4822 of 2025
Nabin Chandra Chowdhury
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharyya,
Mr. Moniruzzaman,
Mr. Jahangir Badsha

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Ansar Mondal,
Mr. Dwaipayan Sanyal

...for the State

Mr. Chittapriya Ghosh,
Mr. Kuntal Ray

...for Respondent Nos. 7 & 8

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner alleges that respondent nos. 7 and 8 have undertaken unauthorised construction.

Respondent nos. 7 and 8, however, deny the allegation and submit that only repair works have been carried out in respect of their residential buildings, which have existed on the relevant land for a long period.

The representation submitted by the petitioner does not specify the nature of the alleged construction. It merely refers to a “pakka construction” against respondent nos. 7 and 8. The writ petition itself is entirely silent as to the precise nature of the construction allegedly undertaken by the respondents.

A petitioner cannot expect a Writ Court to initiate demolition proceedings on the basis of a writ petition that does not specify, or even indicate, the nature of the

construction in question. No order can be passed on the basis of such vague and unspecific allegations.

Accordingly, **WPA 4822 of 2025** stands dismissed.

Urgent certified *website* copies of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Kausik Chanda, J.)