

AD 71
April 7, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 709 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bagdah P.S. Case No.591 of 2025 dated 09.07.2025 under Sections 21(C)/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In the matter of: Kader Mondal and another

... petitioners

Mr. A.A. Alamgir
Ms. Soma Mal
Ms. Rabia Khatoon
Ms. June Modak

... for the petitioners

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta
Ms. Sanjida Sultana

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there is no material available against the present petitioners.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, relies on the case diary and submits that other than the statement of a co-accused, there are two statements of local witnesses who had seen the present petitioners flee from the place of occurrence after the arrest of the other co-accused along with the contraband was effected by the BSF personnel.

Considering the above, the other materials available in the case diary and the restrictions contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)