

AD 63
April 16, 2026
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 669 of 2026

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Tehatta P.S. Case No.65 of 2021 dated 05.02.2021 under Sections 143/186/353/332/307/34 of the IPC, 1860.

And

In the matter of: Sri Amit Kumar Biswas

... petitioner

Mr. Dipanjan Chatterjee
Ms. Dipika Banu
Mr. S. Chakraborty
Ms. B. Roy

... for the petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Id. APP
Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya

... for the State

Report filed by the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He is not named in the FIR. Now, a warrant of arrest has been issued against him. He has been residing at Dumdum since 2003.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that, as per the report, the petitioner, viz Amit Biswas is the same person as Amit Mondal, as named in the FIR. The petitioner has been named as one of the assailants in most of the statements of witnesses. He refers to the injury report of the victims and submits that it was a case of physical assault by a mob. Moreover, the petitioner has approached

this Court with a prayer for anticipatory bail of a case which was started in 2021.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the fact that the petitioner remained absconding for about five years, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)