

02.04.2026
Sl. No.29
NB

CRM (A) 617 of 2026

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kalyani PS Case No.890/2025 dated 03.09.2025 under Sections 318(4)/336(3)/338/340(2) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Biplab Chatterjee ... petitioner

Mr. Mrinal Kanti Mukherjee,
Mr. Madhusudan Mukhopadhyay.
...for the petitioner.

Ms. Subhasree Patel,
Ms. Madhumita Basak.
..for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. At best, there is a civil dispute made out. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits as follows. The petitioner forged a deed purporting it to be of 1998 and used it for having a property mutated in his name. The mutation was later on set aside by the DL&LRO. The BL&LRO thereafter, lodged the present FIR. She relies on a copy of the deed and other materials available in the case diary including the statement of the mother of the petitioner, which implicates the petitioner.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)